United States Environmental Protection Agency United States Agency for International Development EPA/625/R-92/004 September 1992

SEPA

Manual

Technology Transfer



Guidelines for Water Reuse

Manual

Guidelines for Water Reuse

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Water Office of Wastewater Enforcement and Compliance Washington, DC

Office of Research and Development Office of Technology Transfer and Regulatory Support Center for Environmental Research Information Cincinnati, Ohio

U.S. Agency for International Development Washington, DC



Notice

This document has been reviewed in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's peer and administrative review policies and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Contents

Ci	napter			Page
1	INTRO	DDUCT	TION	1
	1.1	Objec	ctives of the Guidelines	_
	1.2	Wate	er Demands	······
	1.3	Source	ce Substitution	9
	1.4	Pollut	tion Abatement	3
	1.5	Treat	tment and Water Quality Considerations	3
	1.6	Oven	view of the Guidelines	4
	1.7	Refer	rences	5
2	TECH	NICAL	. ISSUES IN PLANNING WATER REUSE SYSTEMS	_
	0		1000E0 IN PARTITION WATER REDUCE STOTEINS	/
	2.1	Plann	ning Approach	7
		2.1.1	Preliminary Investigations	7
		2.1.2	Screening of Potential Markets	8
		2.1.3	Detailed Evaluation of Selected Markets	9
	2.2	Poten	ntial Uses of Reclaimed Water	10
		2.2.1	National Water Use	10
		2.2.2	Potential Reclaimed Water Demands	12
		2.2.3	Reuse and Water Conservation	14
	2.3	Sourc	ces of Reclaimed Water	14
		2.3.1	Locating the Sources	14
		2.3.2	Characterizing the Sources	15
	2.4	Treati	ment Requirements for Water Reuse	18
		2.4.1	Health Assessment of Water Reuse	19
		2.4.2	Treatment Requirements	29
		2.4.3	Reliability in Treatment	36
	2.5	Seaso	onal Storage Requirements	43
		2.5.1	Identifying the Operating Parameters	43
		2.5.2	Storage to Meet Irrigation Demands	44
		2.5.3	Storage to Prevent Surface Water Discharge	46
		2.5.4	Partial Commitments of Supply	47
	2.6	Suppl	lemental Water Reuse System Facilities	48
		2.6.1	Conveyance and Distribution Facilities	48
		2.6.2	Operational Storage	54
		2.6.3	Alternative Disposal Facilities	56
	2.7	Enviro	onmental Impacts	59
		2.7.1	Land Use Impacts	59
		2.7.2	Stream Flow Impacts	60
		2.7.3	Hydrogeological Impacts	60
	28	Refere	ancec	

Ch	apter		Page
3	TYPES	S OF REUSE APPLICATIONS	67
	3.1	Introduction	67
	3.1	Urban Reuse	67
	3.2	3.2.1 Reclaimed Water Demand	68
		3.2.2 Reliability and Public Health Protection	69
		3.2.3 Design Considerations	69
	3.3	Industrial Reuse	72
	3.3	3.3.1 Cooling Water	72
		3.3.2 Boiler-Feed Water	75
		3.3.3 Industrial Process Water	75
	3.4	Agricultural Irrigation	76
	3.4	3.4.1 Estimating Agricultural Irrigation Demands	79
		3.4.2 Reclaimed Water Quality	81
		3.4.3 Other System Considerations	
	3.5	Habitat Restoration/Enhancement, and Recreational Reuse	90
	0.5	2.5.1 Natural and Manmade Wetlands	90
		3.5.2 Recreational and Aesthetic Impoundments	91
		3.5.3 Stream Augmentation	92
		3.5.4 Other Recreational Uses	93
	3.6	Groundwater Recharge	93
		2.6.1 Mothods of Groundwater Recharge	94
		3.6.2 Fate of Contaminants in Recharge Systems	100
		3.6.3 Health and Regulatory Considerations	
	3.7	Augmentation of Potable Supplies	102
		3.7.1 Water Quality Objectives for Potable Reuse	103
			103
			104
	3.8	3.7.4 Direct Potable Water Reuse	107
	3.0	3.8.1 Pioneering Urban Reuse for Water Conservation: St. Petersburg, Florida	
		Florida	107
		a g a Mooting Cooling Water Demands with Reclaimed Water: Palo Verde Nuclear	
		Generating Station Arizona	108
		3 8 3 Agricultural Reuse in Tallahassee, Florida	109
		2.8.4 Seasonal Water Reuse Promotes Water Quality Protection: Sonoma County.	
		California	109
		3 8 5 Combining Reciaimen water and River water for influction and Land	
		Augmentation: Las Colinas, Texas	
		3.8.6 Integrating Wetlands Application with Urban Reuse: Hilton Head Island, South Carolina	112
		3.8.7 Groundwater Replenishment with Reclaimed Water: Los Angeles	
		3.8.7 Groundwater Replenishment with Reclaimed Water: Los Angeles	113
		County, California	114
		3 8 9 Water Factory 21 Direct Injection Project: Orange County, California	11~
	3.9	References	117
4	WATE	ER REUSE REGULATIONS AND GUIDELINES IN THE U.S	123
	4.1	Inventory of Existing State Regulations	123
		4.1.1 Reclaimed Water Quality and Treatment Requirements	120
		4.1.2 Reclaimed Water Monitoring Requirements	130
		4.1.3 Treatment Facility Reliability	130

Cł	napter		Page
		4.1.4 Minimum Storage Requirements	13.
		4.1.5 Application Rates	
		4.1.6 Groundwater Monitoring	13
		4.1.7 Setback Distances for Irrigation	13
	4.2	Suggested Guidelines for Water Reuse	132
	4.3	References	140
5	LEGA	L AND INSTITUTIONAL ISSUES	141
	5.1	Identifying Legal Issues	14.
	5.2	Federal Legal Issues	149
	5.3	State Legal Issues	142
		5.3.1 State Water Rights	1/2
		5.3.2 State Liability Laws	1.4.7
		5.3.3 State Franchise Law	14/
		5.3.4 State Case Law	145
	5.4	Local Legal Issues	145
		5.4.1 Reuse Ordinance	145
		5.4.2 User Agreements	146
		5.4.3 Institutional Structures	146
	5.5	Institutional Inventory and Assessment	147
	5.6	Guidelines for Implementation	147
	5.7	Case Studies	149
		5.7.1 1979 Wyoming Case: Thayer vs. City of Rawlins	149
		5.7.2 1989 Arizona Case: Arizona Public Service vs. Long	150
	5.8	References	150
6	FUND	NG ALTERNATIVES FOR WATER REUSE SYSTEMS	151
	6.1 6.2	Decision Making Tools	151
	6.2	Externally Generated Funding Alternatives	152
		6.2.1 Municipal Tax-Exempt Bonds	152
		6.2.2 Grant and State Revolving Fund Programs	152
	.	6.2.3 Capital Contributions	154
	6.3	Internally Generated Funding Alternatives	154
		6.3.1 Operating Budget and Cash Reserves	154
		6.3.2 Property Taxes and Existing User Charges	155
		6.3.3 Special Assessments or Special Tax Districts	155
		6.3.4 Connection Fees	156
	6.4	6.3.5 Reuse User Charges	156
	0.4	Incremental Versus Proportionate Share Costs	156
		6.4.1 Incremental Cost Basis	156
	6 E	6.4.2 Proportionate Share Cost Basis	157
	6.5	Phasing and Participation Incentives	158
	6.6	Sample Rates and Fees	158
		6.6.1 Connection Fees	158
	6.7	6.6.2 User Fees	158
	6.7	Case Studies	159
		6.7.1 Financial Incentives for Water Reuse: Los Angeles County, California	159
		6.7.2 The Economics of Urban Reuse: Irvine Ranch Water District, California	160

Ch	apter			Page
		6.7.3	Determining the Financial Feasibility of Reuse in Florida	161
		6.7.4	An Innovative Funding Program for an Urban Reclaimed Water	
			System: Boca Raton, Florida	163
	6.8	Referer	nces	163
_			RMATION PROGRAMS	
7	PUBLI			
	7.1		ublic Participation?	165
		7.1.1	Source of Information	105
		7.1.2	Informed Constituency	103 166
	7.2	Definin	g the "Public"	100 166
	7.3	Overvie	ew of Public Perceptions	160100 169
	7.4		ng the Public in Reuse Planning	160
		7.4.1	General Requirements for Public Participation	
		7.4.2	Specific Customer Needs	474
		7.4.3	Agency Communication	
	7.5		Studies	۵/۱۲۰ ۲۳۵
		7.5.1	Using Public Surveys to Evaluate Reuse: Venice, Florida	۱۱۵
		7.5.2	Having the Public Evaluate Reuse Alternatives: San Diego, California	174
		7.5.3	Accepting Produce Grown with Reclaimed Water: Monterey, California	175 476
		7.5.4	Water Independence for Cape Coral-An Implementation Update	176
	7.6	Refere	nces	170
8	WATE	R REUS	SE OUTSIDE THE U.S	179
	0.4	Water	Reuse in Other Countries	179
	8.1		Planning Water Reclamation Projects	180
		8.1.1	Technical Issues	182
		8.1.2	Institutional and Legal Issues	188
		8.1.3	Economic and Financial Issues	190
		8.1.4	Implementation of Reuse in Developing Countries	191
		8.1.5	oles of Reuse Programs Outside the U.S	191
	8.2	•	Argentina	192
		8.2.1	Brazil	192
		8.2.2	Brazii	193
		8.2.3	Chile	193
		8.2.4	India	193
		8.2.5	Israel	194
		8.2.6	Japan	194
		8.2.7	Japan	105
		8.2.8	Kuwait	195
		8.2.9	Mexico	105
		8.2.10	People's Republic of China	195
		8.2.11	Peru	107
		8.2.12	Republic of South Africa	197 107
			Saudi Arabia	197
		8.2.14	Singapore	190 100
		8.2.15	Sultanate of Oman	190 100
		8.2.16	Tunisia	198
		8.2.17	United Arab Emirates	
	8.3	Refere	ences	200

Chapter		Page
APPENDIX A	STATE REUSE REGULATIONS AND GUIDELINES	203
	ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	

Tables

Table		Page
1	Infectious Agents Potentially Present in Untreated Domestic Wastewater	20
2	Infectious Doses of Selected Pathogens	22
3	Microorganism Concentrations in Raw Wastewater	22
4	Typical Pathogen Survival Times at 20-30 °C	23
5	Inorganic and Organic Constituents of Concern in Water Reclamation	
	and Rouse	27
6	Typical Composition of Untreated Municipal Wastewater	28
7	Typical Constituent Removal Efficiencies for Primary Treatment	30
8	Typical Percent Removal of Microorganisms by Conventional Wastewater	
	Treatment	30
9	Applicability of Alternative Disinfection Techniques	32
10	Typical Filtration Process Removal	34
11	Coagulation-Sedimentation Typical Constituent Removals	35
12	Summary of Class I Reliability Requirements	38
13	Recommended Cooling Water Quality Criteria for Make-Up Water	
	to Recirculating Systems	74
14	Recommended Industrial Boiler-Feed Water Quality Criteria	/b
15	Industrial Process Water Quality Requirements	//
16	Industrial Water Reuse Quality Concerns and Potential Treatment Processes	//
17	Crop Salt Tolerance	84
18	Salinity of Applied Water	85
19	Recommended Limits for Constituents in Reclaimed Water for Irrigation	87
20	Summary of Facilities and Management Practices for Percolation Recharge	96
21	Water Quality at Phoenix, Arizona SAT System	97
22	Results of Test Basin Sampling Program at Whittier Narrows, California	100
23	Factors that May Influence Virus Movement in Groundwater	101
24	Isolation of Viruses Beneath Land Treatment Sites	101
25	Test Results, Denver Potable Water Reuse Demonstration Project	105
26	Summary of State Regulations and Guidelines	125
27	Number of States with Regulations or Guidelines for Each Type of	
	Reuse Application	126
28	Suggested Guidelines for Water Reuse	133
29	User Fees for Existing Urban Reuse Systems	159
30	Percentage of Respondents Opposed to Various Uses of Reclaimed Water	
	in General Opinion Surveys	167
31	The Tools of Public Participation	169
32	Extent of Water and Sanitation Services in Urban Areas of Developing	
	Countries	182
33	Recommended Microbiological Quality Guidelines for Wastewater Use	
	in Agriculture	185
34	Expected Removal of Excreted Microorganisms in Various Wastewater	
= -	Systems	186
35	Quality Criteria of Treated Wastewater Effluent to be Reused for	
	Agricultural Irrigation in Israel	187

Tables (continued)

Table		Page
36	Typical Land Area Required for Pond Treatment Systems and Secondary	
	Treatment Plants	188
37	Uses of Reclaimed Water in Japan	
38	Uses of Reclaimed Water in Dual Systems in Japan	195
39	Types of Buildings Using Reclaimed Water in Japan	195
40	Reclaimed Water Criteria in Japan	
41	Reclaimed Water Standards in Kuwait	
42	Reclaimed Water Guidelines in South Africa	
43	Reclaimed Water Standards for Unrestricted Irrigation in Saudi Arabia	198
44	Maximum Concentrations for Reclaimed Water Reused in Agriculture	
	in Tunisia	199
A-1	Unrestricted Urban Reuse	
A-2	Restricted Urban Reuse	210
A-3	Agricultural Reuse — Food Crops	218
A-4	Agricultural Reuse — Non-Food Crops	
A-5	Unrestricted Recreational Reuse	
A-6	Restricted Recreational Reuse	239
A-7	Environmental Wetlands	241
A-8	Industrial Reuse	242
B-1	Abbreviations for Units of Measure	246
B-2	Acronyms/Abbreviations	247

Figures

Actual and Projected World Population	Figure		Page
2 Growth of Cities of >1 Million Population	1	Actual and Projected World Population	1
4 U.S. Fresh Water Demands by Major Uses, 1985	2	Growth of Cities of >1 Million Population	2
4 U.S. Fresh Water Demands by Major Uses, 1985	3	Phases of Reuse Program Planning	8
Total Treated Wastewater Design Flows by State	4	U.S. Fresh Water Demands by Major Uses, 1985	10
Average Residential Water Usage by Type of Use	5	Total Treated Wastewater Design Flows by State	11
Average Daily Residential Water Usage Comparison: National, Pennsylvania, & California	6	Total Fresh Water Demands by State, 1985	11
Average Daily Residential Water Usage Comparison: National, Pennsylvania, & California	7	Average Residential Water Usage by Type of Use	12
Stimated Potable Water Conservation Achieved Through Urban Reuse, City of St. Petersburg, Florida	8	Average Daily Residential Water Usage Comparison: National,	
Stimated Potable Water Conservation Achieved Through Urban Reuse, City of St. Petersburg, Florida		Pennsylvania, & California	13
10 Three Configurations Alternatives for Water Reuse Systems	9	Estimated Potable Water Conservation Achieved Through Urban Reuse,	
10 Three Configurations Alternatives for Water Reuse Systems		City of St. Petersburg, Florida	15
11 Reclaimed Water Supply vs. Irrigation Demand	10	Three Configurations Alternatives for Water Reuse Systems	16
12 Generalized Flow Sheet for Wastewater Treatment	11	Reclaimed Water Supply vs. Irrigation Demand	17
Average Pasture Irrigation Demand and Potential Supply	12	Generalized Flow Sheet for Wastewater Treatment	29
Estimated Storage Required to Commit All Available Reclaimed Water for Pasture Irrigation (Average Condition)	13	Average Monthly Rainfall and Pan Evaporation	44
for Pasture Irrigation (Average Condition)	14	Average Pasture Irrigation Demand and Potential Supply	45
Required Storage Capacity to Meet Irrigation Demands vs. Percent of Supply Committed	15	Estimated Storage Required to Commit All Available Reclaimed Water	
Required Storage Capacity to Meet Irrigation Demands vs. Percent of Supply Committed		for Pasture Irrigation (Average Condition)	46
Committed	16	Required Storage Capacity to Meet Irrigation Demands vs. Percent of Supply	
Example of Multiple Reuse Distribution System		Committed	47
Florida Separation Requirements for Reclaimed Water Mains	17	Example of Multiple Reuse Distribution System	49
City of St. Petersburg Customer Connection Protocol	18	Florida Separation Requirements for Reclaimed Water Mains	52
Articipated Daily Reclaimed Water Demand Curve vs. Diurnal Reclaimed Water Flow Curve	19		
Flow Curve	20	Anticipated Daily Reclaimed Water Demand Curve vs. Diurnal Reclaimed Water	
Hydrograph for Diurnal Flows		Flow Curve	56
TDS Increase Due to Evaporation for One Year as a Function of Pond Depth 57 Potable and Nonpotable Water Use Monthly Historic Demand Variation Irvine Ranch Water District 69 Potable and Total Water Use Monthly Historic Demand Variation St. Petersburg, Florida 69 Typical Water Reclamation Plant Process for Urban Reuse 71 Comparison of Agricultural Irrigation, Public/Domestic, and Total Fresh Water Withdrawals 78 Agricultural Reuse Categories by Percent in California 78 Assessing Crop Sensitivity to Salinity for Conventional Irrigation 82 Divisions for Classifying Crop Tolerance of Salinity 83 Schematic of Soil-Aquifer Treatment Systems 98 Number of Drinking Water Contaminants Regulated by the U.S. Government 102 Denver Potable Reuse Demonstration Treatment Processes 106 Public Participation Program Required for Water Reuse System Planning 169	21	Hydrograph for Diurnal Flows	56
Potable and Nonpotable Water Use Monthly Historic Demand Variation Irvine Ranch Water District	22		
Irvine Ranch Water District	23		
Potable and Total Water Use Monthly Historic Demand Variation St. Petersburg, Florida	_ -	Irvine Ranch Water District	69
St. Petersburg, Florida	24		
Typical Water Reclamation Plant Process for Urban Reuse		St. Petersburg, Florida	69
Comparison of Agricultural Irrigation, Public/Domestic, and Total Fresh Water Withdrawals	25	Typical Water Reclamation Plant Process for Urban Reuse	71
Water Withdrawals	26		
Agricultural Reuse Categories by Percent in California		Water Withdrawals	78
Assessing Crop Sensitivity to Salinity for Conventional Irrigation	27	Agricultural Reuse Categories by Percent in California	78
Divisions for Classifying Crop Tolerance of Salinity		Assessing Crop Sensitivity to Salinity for Conventional Irrigation	82
Schematic of Soil-Aquifer Treatment Systems		Divisions for Classifying Crop Tolerance of Salinity	83
Number of Drinking Water Contaminants Regulated by the U.S. Government			
Denver Potable Reuse Demonstration Treatment Processes			
Public Participation Program Required for Water Reuse System Planning169			
Changes In Urban and Rural Populations in Latin America, Africa, and Asia180		Public Participation Program Required for Water Reuse System Planning	169
		Changes In Urban and Rural Populations in Latin America, Africa, and Asia	180

Acknowledgments

Guidelines for Water Reuse was prepared by Camp Dresser & McKee Inc. (CDM) under the direction of Mr. Robert L. Matthews, Officer-in-Charge. Principal authors were Dr. James Crook (Project Director), David K. Ammerman (Project Manager), and Dr. Daniel Okun (Technical Consultant). Contributing authors were Jeffrey F. Payne, Diane C. Kemp, Patrick E. Gallagher, Eric M. Etters, Raymond C. Murphy, Konstandinos Kalimtgis, and Michael G. Heyl. Marlene A. Hobel edited the document and prepared the graphics. The assistance of Denise M. Cormier and Tracie A. Vann is gratefully acknowledged.

Preparation of the *Guidelines* was jointly funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the U.S. Agency for International Development (AID) through AID's Water and Sanitation for Health (WASH) Contract No. DPE-5973-Z-00-8081-00, Project No. 836-1249. The WASH project is sponsored by AID's Office of Health, Bureau for Research and Development and is managed by Camp Dresser & McKee International, a CDM subsidiary.

We wish to acknowledge the direction, advice, and suggestions of the sponsoring agencies, notably: Mr. Robert K. Bastian with EPA; Dr. John Austin and Dr. Rita Klees with AID; and J. Ellis Turner, Eduardo Perez, and Rick Mattson with the AID/WASH Project.

The following individuals served on an advisory committee during development of the document and provided valuable information and substantive suggestions for improving its focus and content. Their assistance is greatly appreciated. Their review does not necessarily signify endorsement.

Dr. Sergio A.S. Almeida Multiservice Engenharia Ltda. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

Dr. Julian Andelman University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Tokuji Annaka Ministry of Construction Ibaraki-ken, Japan

Richard P. Arber Richard P. Arber Associates, Inc. Denver, Colorado

Peter M. Archuleta Eastern Municipal Water District San Jacinto, California

Dr. Takashi Asano University of California at Davis Davis, California

Akissa Bahri Ministere De L'Agriculture Ariana, Tunisia

Rodger B. Baird Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County Whittier, California

Dr. Ursula J. Blumenthal London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine London, England

Dr. Herman Bouwer U.S. Department of Agriculture Phoenix, Arizona Dr. William H. Bruvold University of California at Berkeley Berkeley, California

Dr. Robert C. Cooper University of California at Berkeley Berkeley, California

Ronald W. Crites Nolte & Associates Sacramento, California

Ing. Fulvio Croce Servizi di Ingeneria Palermo, Italy

John Crossman U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Denver, Colorado

Salvatore D'Angelo Boyle Engineering Corporation Orlando, Florida

Dr. Robert A. Gearheart Humboldt State University Arcata, California

Dr. Charles P. Gerba University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona

Dr. Adnan Gur World Health Organization Amman, Jordan

Dr. Ivanildo Hespanhol World Health Organization Geneva, Switzerland Dr. Wiley Horne Metropolitan Water District of Southern California Los Angeles, California

Robert H. Hultquist California Dept. of Health Services Berkeley, California

John L. Irwin Thetford Systems Inc. Ann Arbor, Michigan

William D. Johnson City of St. Petersburg St. Petersburg, Florida

Richard J. Karlin AWWA Research Foundation Denver, Colorado

James M. Kelly Central Contra Costa Sanitary District Martinez, California

John W. Kluesener Bechtel Corp. San Francisco, California

Dr. Rafael Mujeriego Universidad Politecnica de Cataluna Barcelona, Spain

Ken Murakami Ministry of Construction Tokyo, Japan

Dr. Eva C. Nieminski Utah Department of Health Salt Lake City, UT

Peter E. Odendaal Water Research Commission Pretoria, South Africa

Nagaharu Okuno Tokyo Metropolitan Government Tokyo, Japan

Dr. Alan Overman University of Florida Gainesville, Florida

Sherwood C. Reed Environmental Engineering Consultant Norwich, Vermont

Dr. Martin G. Rigby
Orange County Water District
Fountain Valley, California

Millard Robbins Upper Occoquan Sewage Authority Centreville, Virginia

Jean Robertson South Valley Water Reclamation Facility Midvale, Utah

Dr. Joan B. Rose University of South Florida Tampa, Florida

Dr. Richard Sakaji East Bay Municipal Utility District Oakland, California

Dr. Saqer Salem Al Salem Water Authority Amman, Jordan

Dr. M.I. Shaikh World Health Organization Alexandria, Egypt

Dr. Gedaliah Shelef Technion - Israel Inst. of Tech. Haifa, Israel

Hillel Shuval The Hebrew University of Jerusalem Jerusalem, Israel

Robert C. Siemak James M. Montgomery Consulting Engineers Pasadena, California

Dr. Charles A. Sorber University of Pittsburgh Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Martin Strauss International Reference Centre for Waste Disposal Duebendorf, Switzerland

Dr. Fabian A. Yanez Sanitary Engineer Quito, Ecuador

Dr. David W. York Florida Dept. of Environmental Regulation Tallahassee, Florida

Ronald E. Young Irvine Ranch Water District Irvine, California The following Individuals also provided review comments:

Frank Bell - EPA Office of Water/Office of Science and Technology, Washington, D.C. Paul S. Berger - EPA Office of Water/Office of Ground Water & Drinking Water, Washington, D.C. Dale Bucks - U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Washington, D.C. William J. Carmack - U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C. Cindy Dyballa - EPA Office of Policy, Planning, Evaluation/Office of Policy Analysis, Washington, D.C. Joe Karnak - U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C. A.W. Marks - EPA Office of Water/Office of Ground Water & Drinking Water, Washington, D.C. Mark J. Parrotta - EPA Office of Water/Office of Ground Water & Drinking Water, Washington, D.C. Rao Surampali - EPA Region 7, Kansas City, Kansas Bryan Yim - EPA Region 10, Seattle, Washington

Peer Reviewers:

Alan Hais - EPA Office of Water/Office of Science and Technology, Washington, D.C.

James F. Kreissl - EPA Office of Research and Development/ Center for Environmental Research Information,
Cincinnati, Ohio

Harold Thompson - EPA Region 8, Denver, Colorado

Dr. David W. York - Florida Dept. of Environmental Regulation, Tallahassee, Florida